	Application No.	Applicant(s)
-	09/558,749	MALONEY, RIAN R.
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit
	Anand Bhatnagar	2623
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperature All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	ears on the cover sheet wing (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate communication is s	n this application. If not included unication will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <u>05/20/05</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-41 and 43-50.		
3. $\boxtimes$ The drawings filed on $\underline{04/20/00}$ are accepted by the Exami	ner.	
<ul> <li>4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur</li> <li>a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:</li> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority do International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* Certified copies not received:</li> </ul>	been received. been received in Application	n No
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		a reply complying with the requirements
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give		
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus	st be submitted.	
(a) Including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers	son's Patent Drawing Review	v ( PTO-948) attached
1) 🗌 hereto or 2) 🔲 to Paper No./Mail Date		
(b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date	s Amendment / Comment or	in the Office action of
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t		
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	sit of BIOLOGICAL MATE	ERIAL must be submitted. Note the
<ul> <li>Attachment(s)</li> <li>1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/O Paper No./Mail Date</li></ul>	6. ☐ Interview So Paper No./ 98), 7. ☒ Examiner's	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)  ummary (PTO-413),  Mail Date  Amendment/Comment  Statement of Reasons for Allowance  -

Application/Control Number: 09/558,749 Page 2

Art Unit: 2623

 Applicant's response filed on 05/20/05 has been entered and made of record.

- 2. Applicant's arguments with regard to the double patenting rejection, see applicant's remarks paper # 05/20/05 pages 14-16, are persuasive, therefore examiner withdraws the double patenting rejection.
- 3. Applicant has claims 51-59 in his current response, filed on 05/20/05, as the status of being withdrawn but this is incorrect since applicant's representative had previously canceled these claims in his election response, filed on 10/01/04, to the examiner's restriction given on the claims on 08/26/04. Therefore, examiner will cancel these claims by examiner's amendment in order to expedite the case since the pending claims (#1-41 and #43-50) are allowable.

## **EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT**

4. The application has been amended as follows:

Please cancel claims 51-59 as per the reason given above.

- 5. Claims 1-41 and 43-50 are allowed.
- 6. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The closest prior art of Lau et al. (U.S. patent 6,370,266 B1) discloses a financial document processing system. This system of Lau et al. transports financial

documents from one device to another (Hopper, feeder, MICR reader image capture subsystem, etc.) with different processes taking place upon the financial document based on function of the device in question. The prior art of Lau et al. does not teach the features of, regarding claim 1, of "generating process buffer data based on the MICR buffer data, the process buffer data standardized for a plurality of disparate types of check sorters; and receiving a plurality of feature instructions for the check based on the process buffer data," that, in combination with the other claim limitations. Regarding claim 11, Lau et al. does not teach the features of "generating process buffer data based on the MICR buffer data, the process buffer data standardized for a plurality of disparate types of check sorters, the standardized process buffer data comprising a format compatible with the compatible check sorter; and receiving a plurality of feature instructions for the check based on the process buffer data," that, in combination with the other claim limitations. Regarding claim 20, Lau et al. does not teach the limitation of "an emulator coupled to the sorter, the emulator operable to access the MICR data, to generate process buffer data based on the MICR data, the process buffer data standardized for a plurality of disparate types of check sorters, and to generate a plurality of feature instructions for each check based on the process buffer data," that, in combination with the other claim limitations. Regarding claim 31, Lau et al. does not teach the features of "the logic operable to access MICR buffer data for the check sorter, the MICR buffer data comprising MICR data retrieved from a check, to generate process buffer data based on the

Application/Control Number: 09/558,749

Page 4

Art Unit: 2623

MICR buffer data, the process buffer data standardized for a plurality of disparate types of check sorters, to generate a plurality of feature instructions for the check based on the process buffer data, and to communicate the feature instructions to the check sorter for processing of the check," that, in combination with the other claim limitations. Regarding claim 41, Lau et al. does not teach the features of "the logic operable to access MICR buffer data for the check sorter, the MICR buffer data comprising MICR data retrieved from a check, to generate process buffer data based on the MICR buffer data, the process buffer data standardized for a plurality of disparate types of check sorters, the standardized process buffer data comprising a format compatible with the compatible check sorter, to generate a plurality of feature instructions for the check based on the process buffer data, and to communicate the feature instructions to the check sorter for processing of the check," that, in combination with the other claim limitations.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

## **Contact Information**

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anand Bhatnagar whose telephone number is (571) 272-7416, whose supervisor is Amelia Au whose number is (571) 272-

Art Unit: 2623

7414, group fax is 703-872-9306, and Tech center 2600 customer service office number is 703-306-0377.

SAMIR AHMED PRIMARY EXAMINER

**Anand Bhatnagar** 

Art Unit 2623

June 26, 2005